1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8		* * *
9	FRANCISCO BARAJAS,	
10	Plaintiff,	3:11-cv-0894-LRH-VPC
11	V.)) ORDER
12	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.; et al.,) ORDER)
13	Defendants.))
14		,
15	Before the court are defendants Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo"); Mortgage	
16	Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. ("MERS"); and America's Servicing Company, Inc.'s	
17	("America's Servicing") motion to dismiss (Doc. #5 ¹) and motion to confirm expiration of the	
18	underlying temporary restraining order (Doc. #8). Plaintiff Francisco Barajas ("Barajas") filed an	
19	opposition (Doc. #11) to which moving defendants replied (Doc. #12).	
20	Also before the court are defendant National Default Servicing Corporation's ("NDSC")	
21	motion to dismiss (Doc. #14); and defendant T.D. Service Company's ("T.D") motion to dismiss	
22	(Doc. #16).	
23	I. Facts and Procedural History	
24		
25		
26	¹ Refers to the court's docket number.	

1 2

originated by defendant BNC Mortgage, Inc. ("BNC"). Eventually, Barajas defaulted on the mortgage note and defendants initiated non-judicial foreclosure proceedings.

Subsequently, Barajas filed a complaint in state court against defendants alleging three causes of action: (1) wrongful foreclosure; (2) declaratory relief; and (3) injunctive relief. Doc. #1, Exhibit A. Defendants removed the action to federal court based upon diversity jurisdiction.

Doc. #1. Thereafter, moving defendants filed the present motions to dismiss. Doc. ##5, 14, 16.

II. Legal Standard

Defendants seek dismissal pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. To survive a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim, a complaint must satisfy the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a)(2) notice pleading standard. *See Mendiondo v. Centinela Hosp. Med. Ctr.*, 521 F.3d 1097, 1103 (9th Cir. 2008). That is, a complaint must contain "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2). The Rule 8(a)(2) pleading standard does not require detailed factual allegations; however, a pleading that offers "labels and conclusions' or 'a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action" will not suffice. *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009) (quoting *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007)).

Furthermore, Rule 8(a)(2) requires a complaint to "contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to 'state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *Id.* at 1949 (quoting *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 570). A claim has facial plausibility when the pleaded factual content allows the court to draw the reasonable inference, based on the court's judicial experience and common sense, that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged. *See id.* at 1949-50. "The plausibility standard is not akin to a probability requirement, but it asks for more than a sheer possibility that a defendant has acted unlawfully. Where a complaint pleads facts that are merely consistent with a defendant's liability, it stops short of the line between possibility and plausibility of entitlement to relief." *Id.* at 1949 (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

In reviewing a motion to dismiss, the court accepts the facts alleged in the complaint as true. *Id.* However, "bare assertions . . . amount[ing] to nothing more than a formulaic recitation of the elements of a . . . claim . . . are not entitled to an assumption of truth." *Moss v. U.S. Secret Serv.*, 572 F.3d 962, 969 (9th Cir. 2009) (quoting *Iqbal*, 129 S. Ct. at 1951) (brackets in original) (internal quotation marks omitted). The court discounts these allegations because "they do nothing more than state a legal conclusion—even if that conclusion is cast in the form of a factual allegation." *Id.* (citing *Iqbal*, 129 S. Ct. at 1951.) "In sum, for a complaint to survive a motion to dismiss, the non-conclusory 'factual content,' and reasonable inferences from that content, must be plausibly suggestive of a claim entitling the plaintiff to relief." *Id*.

III. Discussion

A. Wrongful Foreclosure

An action for wrongful foreclosure requires that, at the time of the foreclosure sale, the plaintiff was not in breach of the mortgage contract. *Collins v. Union Federal Sav. & Loan Ass'n*, 662 P.2d 610, 623 (Nev. 1983). Here, it is undisputed that Barajas was in default on his mortgage obligations so there can be no sustainable action for wrongful foreclosure.

Further, Barajas's claim that defendants violated the recent amendments to Nevada's recording statute, AB284, which requires all assignments of the deed of trust to be recorded, is without merit. The amendment only applies to assignments and foreclosures occurring on or after October 1, 2011. Here, it is undisputed that the underlying foreclosure, along with the filing of the notice of default and all assignments, commenced in 2008. *See* Doc. #1, Exhibit A. Thus, AB284 does not apply to the underlying foreclosure and cannot constitute a claim for relief in this action. Accordingly, the court shall grant moving defendants' motions to dismiss.

B. Declaratory and Injunctive Relief

Claims for declaratory and injunctive relief are remedies that may be afforded to a party after he has sufficiently established and proven his claims; they are not separate causes of action.

1	See e.g., In re Wal-Mart & Hour Employment Practices Litig., 490 F. Supp. 1091, 1130 (D. Nev.	
2	2007). Here, Barajas fails to allege any claims against defendants that warrant relief. Thus, he is not	
3	entitled to declaratory or injunctive relief. Accordingly, the court shall grant moving defendants'	
4	motions to dismiss.	
5		
6	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendants' motions to dismiss (Doc. ##5, 14, 16) are	
7	GRANTED. Plaintiff's complaint (Doc. #1, Exhibit A) is DISMISSED in its entirety.	
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendants' motion to confirm expiration of the	
9	temporary restraining order (Doc. #8) is GRANTED.	
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11 12	DATED this 28th day of March, 2012.	
13		
14	LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		